



POLITECNICO
MILANO 1863

Evaluating financial education interventions:
guidelines, challenges and best practices

La valutazione delle iniziative di educazione finanziaria:
Linee guida, sfide e best practices

Torino, 26/09/2019

Tommaso Agasisti
Marta Cannistrà



1

Evaluation at glance: features and process

2

Framework for developing and testing financial capabilities

3

Evaluation's contents

4

Evaluating Financial Education interventions: evidences from world experience

5


Our Randomized Controlled Trail for the evaluation of basic financial education in Higher Education

1. Evaluation at a glance: features and process

3


Evaluation needs to

- Be *evidence-based*
- *Measure* something in particular
- Be *systematic*
- Be a contribution in *decision* process
- Be a *process* itself



2. Framework for developing and testing financial capabilities

4



Big Three questions

1 Numeracy and capacity
to do calculations related
to interest rates

2 Understanding of **inflation**

3 Understanding of **risk
diversification**

A. Lusardi

4.1. Evaluating financial education intervention

Evidence from world experience: Wisconsin, USA

6

Where:	Eau Claire (Wisconsin, USA)
When:	A.S. 2011-12 e 2012-13
Students:	4° and 5° grade of two schools from the same district (Elementary schools)
Length of the course:	5 weeks (45 min. per lesson).
Mandatory:	Yes
Methodology:	Randomized controlled trial with OLS regression
Topics:	Savings, financial decisions and money management.
Teacher training:	Yes, they enrolled in a 3-hour course
Participants course assignment:	Random, half of the classes starts immediately (Treatment), while the other ones at the end of the first cycle (Control).
Parents involvement:	Yes, they gave right to data treatment and answered a survey about family income, education level, ...
Data:	Baseline at the beginning, first follow-up assessment and second follow-up at the end of the course.
Goal:	Investigate whether students' understanding of financial concepts increases measurably after participating in a modest financial education intervention.

4.2. Evaluating financial education intervention

Evidence from world experience: Brazil

7

Where:	Brazil
When:	A.S. 2010-11
Students:	High school students between ages 15 and 17 – 892 schools and approx. 25.000 students
Length of the course:	17 months, integrated into regular classroom curricula with interactive in-school and take-home exercises
Mandatory:	Yes
Methodology:	Randomized controlled trial, OLS regression to analyse surveys and focus groups with teachers to evaluate the training materials.
Topics:	Case studies applied to basic finance and economics, connected to daily life
Teacher training:	Yes, with materials.
Participants course assignment:	Schools volunteered to be part of the pilot program, then division into control and treatment through stratified and matched randomization
Parents involvement:	Yes, whose pupils were assigned to treatment group were invited to participate in a school workshop (then divided into control and treatment group) – obj: raise awareness about financial education
Data	Baseline at the beginning, first follow-up assessment and second follow-up at the end of the course. Then teachers and principals were interviewed for feedback on the program.
Goal:	Study the impact of a financial education program for high school students in Brazil.



4.3. Evaluating financial education intervention

Evidence from world experience: Spain

8


Where:	Spain
When:	Starting in A.S. 2014-15, with four rounds
Students:	3,000 9 th and 10 th grade (between 14 and 15 years old) from 78 high schools
Length of the course:	10 hours
Mandatory:	Yes, along the normal lectures
Methodology:	Randomized controlled trial
Topics:	Savings and interests, risk and budget, bank accounts' typology and pension funds and insurance investments
Teacher training:	No, but they had discretion over the teacher who taught the topic
Participants course assignment:	Randomization at school level, stratifying according to typology
Parents involvement:	No
Data:	Baseline financial literacy test and a demographic survey, second financial literacy test and an additional survey, third financial literacy test with an incentivized task.
Goal:	Detect if financial education changes individuals' financial knowledge or behaviour



5. Evaluating basic financial knowledge at Politecnico di Milano

Our Randomized Controlled Trial

9



Where:	Politecnico di Milano
When:	2 nd semester A.Y. 2018/19
Students:	365 PoliMi students attending 1 st year of Mathematical Engineering
Length of the course:	1 day (3h) during Economics course
Mandatory:	No, students rewarded with an additional point on final grade of the course
Methodology:	Randomized Controlled Trial, Multiple Linear Regression model
Topics:	Basic finance and economics: interests, loans, indicators...
Teacher training:	No, teacher from Applied Finance of Mathematical Department
Participants course assignment:	Random, based on the first letter of students' surname
Parents involvement:	No
Data:	Results from pre test before the course, final test after the course, administrative data about single student
Goal:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Understand the general level of financial literacy among 19-years old• Understand if basics finance can be taught in online classes, obtaining the same results of offline ones.

6. Considerations and challenges about financial education programmes

10

Considerations

- ✓ **Control** group to demonstrate the impact
- ✓ Financial **income** level of participants needs to be considered
- ✓ When young pupils are the target, the **involvement of parents** can be impactful
- ✓ **Motivation** in joining financial education initiatives is essential
 - necessity to consider **response and dropout rate**
 - **rewarding** can be a solution

Challenges

- × Knowledge evaluation is relatively simple, the difficulties is to determine the **extent** of behavioural changes because they are
 - Time-sensitive
 - Self-reported
- × Lack of **theoretical framework**
- × **Data integrity**: potential biases, non-comparability
- × Difficulties in proving **causation** of knowledge on behaviours

- O'Connell, A. (2009), "Evaluating the Effectiveness of Financial Education Programmes", *OECD Journal: General Papers*, vol. 2008/3, https://doi.org/10.1787/gen_papers-v2008-art17-en.
- O'Connell, A. (2007). How effective is financial education?, Institute of Policy Studies at Victoria University Wellington - Policy Quarterly, 3(3), 40-48.
- Walstad, W., Urban, C., J. Asarta, C., Breitbach, E., Bosshardt, W., Heath, J., ... & Xiao, J. J. (2017). Perspectives on evaluation in financial education: Landscape, issues, and studies. *The Journal of Economic Education*, 48(2), 93-112.
- INFE, O. (2010). Detailed Guide to Evaluating Financial Education Programmes.
- Huston, S. J. (2010). Measuring financial literacy. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 44(2), 296-316.
- Batty, M., Collins, J. M., & Odders-White, E. (2015). Experimental evidence on the effects of financial education on elementary school students' knowledge, behavior, and attitudes. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 49(1), 69-96.
- Becchetti, L., Caiazza, S., & Coviello, D. (2013). Financial education and investment attitudes in high schools: evidence from a randomized experiment. *Applied Financial Economics*, 23(10), 817-836.
- Bruhn, M., Leão, L. D. S., Legovini, A., Marchetti, R., & Zia, B. (2016). The impact of high school financial education: Evidence from a large-scale evaluation in Brazil. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 8(4), 256-95.
- Batu, M., Bower, N., Lun, E., & Sadanand, A. (2018). Testing the effectiveness of online assignments in theory of finance. *Journal of Education for Business*, 93(3), 119-127.
- Michael Collins, J., & Odders-White, E. (2015). A framework for developing and testing financial capability education programs targeted to elementary schools. *The Journal of Economic Education*, 46(1), 105-120.
- Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2014). The economic importance of financial literacy: Theory and evidence. *Journal of economic literature*, 52(1), 5-44.